

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/814,823	03/31/2004	Franck Roche	00RO30654423	5289
27975	10/03/2006		EXAM	INER
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE			FENNEMA, ROBERT E	
	P.O. BOX 3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791		2183		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A 44 - 12 October 2011	10/814,823	ROCHE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert E. Fennema	2183				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
_	ly 2006					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 July 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	panio daujio, rocc cie. ri, re	,				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 have been considered. Claims 1-2, 11-12, and 21-23 have been amended as per Applicant's request.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 11-12, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Galvin et al. (herein Galvin).
- 4. As per Claim 1, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor comprising:
 - a processing unit (Page 46, the "hardware");

a memory connected to said processing unit and comprising an addressable memory space for a lower memory area and an extended memory area (Pages 48-49, also see Figure 2.7 which defines address spaces);

means for connecting to and accessing said addressable memory space (Pages 45-46);

means for executing instructions of an instruction set executable by said processing unit, the instruction set comprising instructions for accessing said addressable memory space (Pages 48-49), a first instruction group comprising

instructions for accessing said lower memory area (Page 46, user mode instructions), and a second instruction group distinct from the first instruction group and only comprising all of the instructions for accessing said extended memory area (Page 46, privileged instructions); and

means for preventing access to said extended memory area when executing an instruction in the first instruction group (Pages 48-49, access outside the specified memory area in user mode is prevented).

- As per Claim 2, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 1, wherein each location in said addressable memory space is associated with a respective access address; and further comprising means for forcing an access address of a location to be accessed to point to a location in said lower memory area when executing an instruction in the first instruction group (Pages 48-49, an exception occurs when accessing memory out of bounds).
- 6. As per Claim 11, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor comprising: a processing unit (Page 46, the "hardware");

a memory connected to said processing unit and comprising an addressable memory space for a lower memory area and an extended memory area (Pages 48-49, also see Figure 2.7 which defines address spaces);

an address bus connected to said memory (This would be required, for a highlevel view of this, see page 30, Figure 2.1); and

Art Unit: 2183

an instruction set executable by said processing unit, the instruction set comprising

a first instruction group comprising instructions for accessing said lower memory area (Page 46, user mode instructions),

a second instruction group distinct from the first instruction group and only comprising all of the instructions for accessing said extended memory area (Page 46, privileged instructions); and

means for preventing access to said extended memory area when executing an instruction in the first instruction group (Pages 48-49, access outside the specified memory area in user mode is prevented).

- As per Claim 12, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 11, wherein each location in said addressable memory space is associated with a respective access address; and further means for forcing an access address of a location to be accessed to point to a location in said lower memory area when executing an instruction in the first instruction group (Pages 48-49, an exception occurs when accessing memory out of bounds).
- 8. As per Claim 21, Galvin teaches: A method for accessing a memory used by a microprocessor, the microprocessor comprising a processing unit (Page 46, the "hardware"), an address bus connected to the processing unit (This would be required, for a high-level view of this, see page 30, Figure 2.1), with the memory being connected

to the address bus and comprising an addressable memory space for a lower memory

Page 5

area and an extended memory area, the method comprising (Pages 48-49, also see

Figure 2.7 which defines address spaces):

executing an instruction for accessing the lower memory area, the instruction belonging to an instruction set comprising a first instruction group comprising instructions for accessing the lower memory area (Page 46, user mode instructions), and a second instruction group distinct from the first instruction group and only comprising all of the instructions for accessing the extended memory area (Page 46,

privileged instructions);

preventing access to the extended memory area when executing the first instruction group (Pages 48-49, access outside the specified memory area in user mode is prevented).

9. As per Claim 22, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 21, wherein each location in the addressable memory space is associated with a respective access address; and further comprising forcing an access address of a location to be accessed to point to a location in the lower memory area when executing an instruction in the first instruction group (Pages 48-49, an exception occurs when accessing memory out of bounds).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 3-4, 13-14, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galvin, in view of Suleman.
- 12. As per Claim 3, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 1, further comprising at least one internal register (Examiner is taking official notice that a register file, and thus internal registers, are in almost every processing machine that executes instructions and operates a memory, and would have been in the system intended for Galvin's invention), but fails to teach:

wherein the second instruction group comprises:

jump and routine call instructions at an arbitrary memory location in said addressable memory space; and

data transfer instructions between the arbitrary memory location and said at least one internal register.

Galvin teaches a system to address a memory, but does not specifically disclose the instructions the system is capable of performing. However, Suleman teaches that in the Intel 8086 architecture, Jump (Page 14), Call (Page 16), and Move (Page 2) instructions are provided. Given that a computer needs an instruction set to run

Art Unit: 2183

instructions, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that if Galvin's invention was to be implemented in an 8086 machine in order to take advantage of the operating system, then they would have Jump, Call, and Move instructions available to them.

13. As per Claim 4, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 1, wherein each location in said addressable memory space is associated with a respective access address (Page 48); and

means for maintaining an address of a jump destination location so that it points to a location in said lower memory area (Pages 48-49, a user mode instruction can not have a destination that legally points outside of its range), but fails to teach:

for executing jump or routine call instructions from the first instruction group in a direct addressing mode from a location in said lower memory area.

Galvin teaches a system to address a memory, but does not specifically disclose the instructions the system is capable of performing. However, Suleman teaches that in the Intel 8086 architecture, Jump (Page 14), Call (Page 16), and Move (Page 2) instructions are provided. Given that a computer needs an instruction set to run instructions, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that if Galvin's invention was to be implemented in an 8086 machine in order to take advantage of the operating system, then they would have Jump, Call, and Move instructions available to them.

Art Unit: 2183

14. As per Claim 13, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 11, further comprising at least one internal register (Examiner is taking official notice that a register file, and thus internal registers, are in almost every processing machine that executes instructions and operates a memory, and would have been in the system intended for Galvin's invention), but fails to teach:

wherein the second instruction group comprises:

jump and routine call instructions at an arbitrary memory location in said addressable memory space; and

data transfer instructions between the arbitrary memory location and said at least one internal register.

Galvin teaches a system to address a memory, but does not specifically disclose the instructions the system is capable of performing. However, Suleman teaches that in the Intel 8086 architecture, Jump (Page 14), Call (Page 16), and Move (Page 2) instructions are provided. Given that a computer needs an instruction set to run instructions, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that if Galvin's invention was to be implemented in an 8086 machine in order to take advantage of the operating system, then they would have Jump, Call, and Move instructions available to them.

15. As per Claim 14, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 11, wherein each location in said addressable memory space is associated with a respective access address (Page 48); and

said instruction set further comprises instructions for maintaining an address of a jump destination location so that it points to a location in said lower memory area (Pages 48-49, a user mode instruction can not have a destination that legally points outside of its range), but fails to teach:

executing jump or routine call instructions from the first instruction group in a direct addressing mode from a location in said lower memory area.

Galvin teaches a system to address a memory, but does not specifically disclose the instructions the system is capable of performing. However, Suleman teaches that in the Intel 8086 architecture, Jump (Page 14), Call (Page 16), and Move (Page 2) instructions are provided. Given that a computer needs an instruction set to run instructions, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that if Galvin's invention was to be implemented in an 8086 machine in order to take advantage of the operating system, then they would have Jump, Call, and Move instructions available to them.

16. As per Claim 23, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 21, wherein the microprocessor further comprises at least one internal register (Examiner is taking official notice that a register file, and thus internal registers, are in almost every processing machine that executes instructions and operates a memory, and would have been in the system intended for Galvin's invention), but fails to teach:

wherein the second instruction group comprises:

Art Unit: 2183

jump and routine call instructions at an arbitrary memory location in the addressable memory space; and

data transfer instructions between the arbitrary memory location and the at least one internal register.

Galvin teaches a system to address a memory, but does not specifically disclose the instructions the system is capable of performing. However, Suleman teaches that in the Intel 8086 architecture, Jump (Page 14), Call (Page 16), and Move (Page 2) instructions are provided. Given that a computer needs an instruction set to run instructions, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that if Galvin's invention was to be implemented in an 8086 machine in order to take advantage of the operating system, then they would have Jump, Call, and Move instructions available to them.

As per Claim 24, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 21, wherein each location in the addressable memory space is associated with a respective access address (Page 48); and further comprising maintaining an address of a jump destination location so that it points to a location in the lower memory area (Pages 48-49, a user mode instruction can not have a destination that legally points outside of its range), but fails to teach:

for executing jump or routine call instructions from the first instruction group in a direct addressing mode from a location in the lower memory area.

Galvin teaches a system to address a memory, but does not specifically disclose the instructions the system is capable of performing. However, Suleman teaches that in the Intel 8086 architecture, Jump (Page 14), Call (Page 16), and Move (Page 2), instructions are provided. Given that a computer needs an instruction set to run instructions, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that if Galvin's invention was to be implemented in an 8086 machine in order to take advantage of the operating system, then they would have Jump, Call, and Move instructions available to them.

- 18. Claims 5-9, 15-19, and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galvin, in view of Official Notice.
- 19. As per Claim 5, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 1, further comprising means for forcing an address and a value of a pointer that specifies access in the indirect mode so that the pointer is located in said lower memory area and points to this area (Pages 48-49, the program would be terminated if the address was illegal, "forcing" it to point to correct areas in order to execute), but fails to teach:

wherein the first instruction group comprises indirect mode addressing instructions for accessing a location in said lower memory area.

While Galvin teaches a high-level view of a computer system utilizing an operating system with instructions to access memory, indirect addressing is not explicitly taught for the systems on which the teachings operate, as it is beyond the

scope of the textbook. However, the concept of indirect addressing is well known in the art, and implemented in many instruction sets, and Examiner is taking Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to make use of indirect addressing instructions to run on a system such as Galvin's to address memory.

20. As per Claim 6, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 1, wherein the second instruction group comprises instructions for accessing said extended memory area (Page 46, privileged instructions), but fails to teach:

in an indirect addressing mode (see Claim 5 rejection).

- 21. As per Claim 7, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 6, wherein in the indirect addressing mode of said extended memory area, pointers that determine an address of a memory location to be accessed are located in said lower memory area (Pages 48-49 states that in protected mode (able to address extended memory area), there are no memory limitations, thus the pointers could be located anywhere, including the lower area).
- 22. As per Claim 8, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 6, wherein in the indirect addressing mode of said extended memory area, pointers that determine an address of a memory location to be accessed are located within said extended memory area (Pages 48-49 states that in protected mode (able to address extended memory area), there are no memory limitations, thus the pointers could be located

anywhere, including the extended area).

- 23. As per Claim 9, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 1, wherein said means for connecting to and accessing said addressable memory space comprises an address bus (This would be required, for a high-level view of this, see page 30, Figure 2.1); and further comprising a program pointer register having a size corresponding to a size of said address bus for enabling access to a program instruction to be executed that is located at an arbitrary location in said addressable memory space (inherent for any machine to have a register to enable access to the memory of the required size).
- 24. As per Claim 15, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 11, wherein said instruction set further comprises instructions for forcing an address and a value of a pointer that specifies access in the indirect mode so that the pointer is located in said lower memory area and points to this area (Pages 48-49, the program would be terminated if the address was illegal, "forcing" it to point to correct areas in order to execute), but fails to teach:

wherein the first instruction group comprises indirect mode addressing instructions for accessing a location in said lower memory area.

While Galvin teaches a high-level view of a computer system utilizing an operating system with instructions to access memory, indirect addressing is not explicitly taught for the systems on which the teachings operate, as it is beyond the

Art Unit: 2183

scope of the textbook. However, the concept of indirect addressing is well known in the art, and implemented in many instruction sets, and Examiner is taking Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to make use of indirect addressing instructions to run on a system such as Galvin's to address memory.

- 25. As per Claim 16, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 11, wherein the second instruction group comprises instructions for accessing said extended memory area (Page 46, privileged instructions) in an indirect addressing mode (see Claim 15 rejection).
- 26. As per Claim 17, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 16, wherein in the indirect addressing mode of said extended memory area, pointers that determine an address of a memory location to be accessed are located in said lower memory area (Pages 48-49 states that in protected mode (able to address extended memory area), there are no memory limitations, thus the pointers could be located anywhere, including the lower area).
- 27. As per Claim 18, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 16, wherein in the indirect addressing mode of said extended memory area, pointers that determine an address of a memory location to be accessed are located within said extended memory area (Pages 48-49 states that in protected mode (able to address extended memory area), there are no memory limitations, thus the pointers could be

Art Unit: 2183

located anywhere, including the extended area).

28. As per Claim 19, Galvin teaches: A microprocessor according to claim 11, further comprising a program pointer register having a size corresponding to a size of said address bus (This would be required, for a high-level view of this, see page 30, Figure 2.1) for enabling access to a program instruction to be executed that is located at an arbitrary location in said addressable memory space (inherent for any machine to have a register to enable access to the memory of the required size).

29. As per Claim 25, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 21, further comprising forcing an address and a value of a pointer that specifies access in the indirect mode so that the pointer is located in the lower memory area and points to this area (Pages 48-49, the program would be terminated if the address was illegal, "forcing" it to point to correct areas in order to execute), but fails to teach:

wherein the first instruction group comprises indirect mode addressing instructions for accessing a location in the lower memory area.

While Galvin teaches a high-level view of a computer system utilizing an operating system with instructions to access memory, indirect addressing is not explicitly taught for the systems on which the teachings operate, as it is beyond the scope of the textbook. However, the concept of indirect addressing is well known in the art, and implemented in many instruction sets, and Examiner is taking Official Notice that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to make use of indirect

Art Unit: 2183

addressing instructions to run on a system such as Galvin's to address memory.

30. As per Claim 26, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 21, wherein the second instruction group comprises instructions for accessing the extended memory area (Page 46, privileged instructions) in an indirect addressing mode (see Claim 25 rejection).

- 31. As per Claim 27, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 26, wherein in the indirect addressing mode of the extended memory area, pointers that determine an address of a memory location to be accessed are located in the lower memory area (Pages 48-49 states that in protected mode (able to address extended memory area), there are no memory limitations, thus the pointers could be located anywhere, including the lower area).
- 32. As per Claim 28, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 26, wherein in the indirect addressing mode of the extended memory area, pointers that determine an address of a memory location to be accessed are located within the extended memory area (Pages 48-49 states that in protected mode (able to address extended memory area), there are no memory limitations, thus the pointers could be located anywhere, including the extended area).

As per Claim 29, Galvin teaches: A method according to claim 21, wherein the microprocessor further comprises a program pointer register having a size corresponding to a size of the address bus (This would be required, for a high-level view of this, see page 30, Figure 2.1) for enabling access to a program instruction to be executed that is located at an arbitrary location in the addressable memory space (inherent for any machine to have a register to enable access to the memory of the required size).

- 33. Claims 10, 20, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galvin.
- 34. As per Claims 10, 20, and 30, with Claim 10 being exemplary, Galvin teaches a microprocessor according to claim 1, but fails to teach:

wherein said lower memory area is accessible over 16 bits and said extended memory area is accessible over 24 bits.

Galvin teaches an operating system method to address protected memory, and in Figure 2.7 on Page 48, shows several segments of memory, with the "extended" or protected mode in the lower section of the memory, where the "lower" section of memory as claimed by the Applicant is actually addressed as "higher" memory locations. However, this is just an implementation difference, and there is no reason one of ordinary skill in the art could not shift the locations around and have monitor code at the "top" of memory. As each block gets "higher" into the memory, more and more bits

Art Unit: 2183

are required to address it, thus, to access job 4's area in memory, more bits are required than to access job 1's area. For example, Job 1 can be addressed over 19 bits, and Job 4 is addressed over 20 bits. In addition, changing bit size is not considered to be a patentable distinction, nor is shifting location of parts (rearranging memory in this example). See *In re Rose*, 200 F.2d 459, 463, 105 USPQ 237, 240 (CCPA 1955), and *In re Japikse*, 181 F.2d 1019, 1023, 86 USPQ 70, 73 (CCPA 1950) respectively. Claims 20 and 30 teach similar limitations and are rejected for the same reason.

Conclusion

35. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert E. Fennema whose telephone number is (571) 272-2748. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E Fennema Examiner Art Unit 2183

RF

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100